

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/248,524	02/09/1999		AMIT R. SHAH	2870/72	8887
7:	590	03/10/2003			
Karen A Low	ney Esq		EXAMINER		
Estee Lauder Companies 125 Pinelawn Road				WELLS, LAUREN Q	
Melville, NY 11747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1617 DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003	25

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/248,524	SHAH, AMIT R.					
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lauren Q Wells	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the c ver sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 18 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
 a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension 							
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet of the	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one to later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: 3.⊠ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 35 USC 112, 1 st paragraph rejection, over claims 15-18.							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmented explanation of how the new or amended claims we 							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
B. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		Radmandh					
		SREENI PADMANABHAN SICIOS PRIMARY EXAMINER					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

maintained

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: a) the 35 USC 112 and 103 rejections are mainted for reasons of record in the Office Action mailed 9/18/02, Paper No. 22; b) Applicant argues that D&C Yellow No. 5 is not a water soluble \land pigment. This argument is not persuasive. The Examiner respectfully points out on page 4, line 14, D&C Yellow No. 5 is specifically recited as a preferred water-soluble pigment. Regarding arguments toward the motivation to combine the references see Paper No. 22. Applicant argues that she has achieved unexpected results. This argument is not persuasive. The Examiner respectfully directs Applicant to MPEP 706.02 for guidelines in showing unexpected results.